

Institute of Demographics and Socioeconomics - Research Seminar
May 7, 2015 – Geneva, Switzerland

The Rsocialdata.network extension: handling and analysing egocentric network survey data in R

beta release

Emmanuel ROUSSEAU

*Institute of Information Systems
Institute of Demographics and Socioeconomics*

Emmanuel.Rousseaux@unige.ch

Gilbert RITSCHARD

Institute of Demographics and Socioeconomics

Gilbert.Ritschard@unige.ch

Outline

Introduction

Overview of the package

Demonstration

What's next

The Rsocialdata project

Key points:

- ▶ Storing survey data in R
(missing values, weighting, etc.)
- ▶ Documenting data:
questions, values, variables, and surveys
- ▶ Saving time during analyses
- ▶ Facilitating the share of survey data

Motivation for a network survey data extension

- ▶ Specific needs of survey data not fulfilled by other network packages
data description, missing, values, etc.
- ▶ Storing network data in a tabular way implies a lot of work to prepare data

VLV: $(5 \times 5) \times 4 + 5 \times 8 = 100 + 40 = 140$ network variables

Motivation for a network survey data extension

- ▶ Storing network data covariates makes preparing data even more tough
and increase the risk of making mistakes
- ▶ This data preparation work limits the reproducibility of results
a lot of way to proceed \Rightarrow make R codes difficult to share

Literature review

About 100 packages about 'network' available on the CRAN.

- ▶ **networks:**

classes for representing relational data types and supports arbitrary
vertex/edge/graph attributes

- ▶ **sna:**

data structures, statistical tools, 2D/3D visualization

- ▶ **d3Network:**

visualization: D3 JavaScript network, tree, dendrogram, and Sankey graphs

Literature review

- ▶ `diagram`:
visualization of simple graphs (networks)
- ▶ `egonet`:
small tool dealing with ego-centric network measures
- ▶ `igraph`:
visualzation and measures for large graphs
- ▶ `InteractiveIGraph`:
allow to work with 'igraph' objects interactively
- ▶ ...

Literature review

Conclusion

- ▶ A lot of very specific tools
in particular visualization and statistical analysis
- ▶ Nothing for (egocentric) network survey data
- ▶ No unified framework for storing and analysing

Outline

Introduction

Overview of the package

Demonstration

What's next

Overview of the package

Two new classes:

- ▶ `NetworkVariable`: designed to store network survey data
- ▶ `NetworkMetadata`: designed to store covariates describing network members

Main network measures directly available:

- ▶ Density
- ▶ In-out degree
- ▶ Centrality
- ▶ Betweenness
- ▶ etc.

Tools for facilitating network structure analyses:

- ▶ `net_extract`:
Stem new networks by combining networks with metadata information
- ▶ `net_query`:
Query a network variable on its structure

Outline

Introduction

Overview of the package

Demonstration

What's next

Loading the package

```
library(Rsocialdata)
```

```
library(Rsocialdata.network)
```

Loading data

For this example we use VLV data

```
load(file="data/vlv_geneva.rda")
```

The data contains 4 network variables and 1 metadata network variable

```
ls()
```

```
## [1] "net.conflit"    "net.influence" "net.leger"      "net.materiel"  
## [5] "nmv"           "vlv"           "vlv.study"
```

Réseau de soutien émotionnel léger

```
description(net.leger)
```

```
## [1] "'X' (ligne) reçoit du soutien émotionnel de 'Y' (colonne)"
```

```
valids(net.leger)
```

```
## non selectionne      oui  
##                   0      1
```

```
missings(net.leger)
```

```
## PDR  
## -2
```



```
net.leger[1]
```

```
## [[1]]  
##      ego 1 2 3 4 5  
## ego   0 1 1 1 1 1  
## 1     1 0 1 0 0 0  
## 2     0 1 0 0 0 0  
## 3     0 0 0 0 1 0  
## 4     0 0 0 1 0 0  
## 5     1 0 0 0 0 0
```

```
class(net.leger)[1]
```

```
## [1] "NetworkVariable"
```

Réseau de conflit

```
description(net.conflit)
```

```
## [1] "'X' (ligne) met en colère 'Y' (colonne)"
```

```
valids(net.conflit)
```

```
## non selectionne      oui  
##                0      1
```

```
missings(net.conflit)
```

```
## PDR  
## -2
```

```
net.conflit[1]
```

```
## [[1]]  
##      ego 1 2 3 4 5  
## ego    0 0 0 0 0  
## 1      0 0 0 0 0  
## 2      0 0 0 0 0  
## 3      0 0 0 0 0  
## 4      0 0 0 0 0  
## 5      0 0 0 0 0
```

```
class(net.conflit)[1]
```

```
## [1] "NetworkVariable"
```

Demographic data of network members

```
description(nmv)
```

```
## [1] "données socio-démographiques pour les 4 réseaux"
```

```
ncol(nmv)
```

```
## [1] 14
```

```
nrow(nmv) == nrow(vlv.study) * 5
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
nmv$id.ego[1:10]
```

```
## Description: IDs for ego
```

```
## [1] 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2
```

```
nmv$id.alter[1:10]
```

```
## Description: IDs for alter
```

```
## [1] 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5
```

```
nmv$sexe[1:10]
```

```
## Description: Sexe des membres du réseau
```

```
## [1] Masculin Feminin Feminin Feminin Masculin Feminin Masculin
```

```
## [8] Masculin Masculin Masculin
```

```
## Levels: Masculin < Feminin
```

An example of network density analysis

Computing the density of all networks is easily done:

```
vlv.study$net.leger.density = net.density(net.leger, target = "oui")
```

For instance, we consider a network is *dense* when the density is over 0.5

```
vlv.study$net.leger.density.2 = cut(vlv.study$net.leger.density, breaks = 0.5)  
frequencies("net.leger.density.2", vlv.study)
```

| Coding | Missing | Label | N | N total | Percent | Percent (all) | Percent total |
|--------|---------|---------|-----|---------|---------|---------------|---------------|
| 0 | | [0,0.5] | 437 | | 77.62 | 77.62 | |
| 1 | | (0.5,1] | 126 | 563 | 22.38 | 22.38 | 100.00 |
| | | | | 563 | | | 100 |

And we assess the impact of the family configuration on being a dense network or not:

```
leger.density.reglog <- reglog(  
  formula = net.leger.density.2 ~ compclustr6hcours,  
  target= "(0.5,1]",  
  data = vlv.study  
)
```


Results:

| | Model 1 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| compclustr6hcoursSon and co | 1.166 |
| compclustr6hcoursDaughter and co | 0.410 * |
| compclustr6hcoursSiblings | 0.868 |
| compclustr6hcoursKinship | 0.302 * |
| compclustr6hcoursSparse | 0.257 *** |
| (Intercept) | 0.414 *** |

Table : *Estimated coefficients (odds ratios)* , *** < 0.001 , ** < 0.01 , * < 0.05 , + < 0.1

Operations available for structure analysis

- ▶ `action_rename`
- ▶ `action_remove`
- ▶ `condition_link`
- ▶ `condition_attribute`

```
action_remove(x = "men", from = "sexe", direction = "all")
```

```
## Network operation 'action_remove' with parameter(s)  
##   x: 'men'  
##   from: 'sexe'  
##   direction: 'all'  
##   code: '0'
```

Manipulating the emotional support network

We start from:

```
net.leger[1]

## [[1]]
##      ego 1 2 3 4 5
## ego   0 1 1 1 1 1
## 1     1 0 1 0 0 0
## 2     0 1 0 0 0 0
## 3     0 0 0 0 1 0
## 4     0 0 0 1 0 0
## 5     1 0 0 0 0 0
```

```
net.leger_lien_parente <- net_extract(  
  x = net.leger,  
  action_rename(by = "lien.de.parente.code")  
)
```

```
net.leger_lien_parente[1]
```

```
## [[1]]  
##      ego S SH IU GU B  
## ego   0 1  1  1  1 1  
## S     1 0  1  0  0 0  
## SH    0 1  0  0  0 0  
## IU    0 0  0  0  1 0  
## GU    0 0  0  1  0 0  
## B     1 0  0  0  0 0
```

```
net.leger_without_son_all <- net_extract(  
  x = net.leger,  
  action_remove(x = "S", from = "lien.de.parente.code", direction = "all")  
)
```

```
net.leger_without_son_all[1]
```

```
## [[1]]  
##      ego 1 2 3 4 5  
## ego   0 0 1 1 1 1  
## 1     0 0 0 0 0 0  
## 2     0 0 0 0 0 0  
## 3     0 0 0 0 1 0  
## 4     0 0 0 1 0 0  
## 5     1 0 0 0 0 0
```

Now we combine operations:

```
net.leger_without_son_all <- net_extract(  
  x = net.leger,  
  action_rename(by = "lien.de.parente.code"),  
  action_remove(x = "S", from = "lien.de.parente.code", direction = "all")  
)
```

```
net.leger_without_son_all[1]
```

```
## [[1]]  
##      ego S SH IU GU B  
## ego  0 0 1 1 1 1  
## S    0 0 0 0 0 0  
## SH   0 0 0 0 0 0  
## IU   0 0 0 0 1 0  
## GU   0 0 0 1 0 0  
## B    1 0 0 0 0 0
```

```
net.leger_without_son_in <- net_extract(  
  x = net.leger,  
  action_rename(by = "lien.de.parente.code"),  
  action_remove(x = "S", from = "lien.de.parente.code", direction = "in")  
)
```

```
net.leger_without_son_in[1]
```

```
## [[1]]  
##      ego S SH IU GU B  
## ego  0 0 1 1 1 1  
## S    1 0 1 0 0 0  
## SH   0 0 0 0 0 0  
## IU   0 0 0 0 1 0  
## GU   0 0 0 1 0 0  
## B    1 0 0 0 0 0
```

```
net.leger_without_son_out <- net_extract(  
  x = net.leger,  
  action_rename(by = "lien.de.parente.code"),  
  action_remove(x = "S", from = "lien.de.parente.code", direction = "out")  
)
```

```
net.leger_without_son_out[1]
```

```
## [[1]]  
##      ego S SH IU GU B  
## ego  0 1  1  1  1  1  
## S    0 0  0  0  0  0  
## SH   0 1  0  0  0  0  
## IU   0 0  0  0  1  0  
## GU   0 0  0  1  0  0  
## B    1 0  0  0  0  0
```


Querying the emotional support network

```
net.leger_link_son_daughter_xT0y = net_query(  
  x = net.leger,  
  condition_link(  
    x = "S", x.from = "lien.de.parente.code",  
    y = "D", y.from = "lien.de.parente.code",  
    direction = "xT0y"  
  )  
)
```

```
net.leger_link_son_daughter_xT0y[1:10]
```

```
## [1] NA NA FALSE NA TRUE NA NA NA NA NA
```

We observe an NA when the son (S) or the daughter (D) is not cited in the network

```
net.leger_link_son_daughter_yT0x = net_query(  
  x = net.leger,  
  condition_link(  
    x = "S", x.from = "lien.de.parente.code",  
    y = "D", y.from = "lien.de.parente.code",  
    direction = "yT0x"  
  )  
)
```

```
net.leger_link_son_daughter_yT0x[1:10]
```

```
## [1] NA NA TRUE NA FALSE NA NA NA NA NA
```

```
net.leger_link_son_daughter_both = net_query(  
  x = net.leger,  
  condition_link(  
    x = "S", x.from = "lien.de.parente.code",  
    y = "D", y.from = "lien.de.parente.code",  
    direction = "both"  
  )  
)
```

```
net.leger_link_son_daughter_both[1:10]
```

```
## [1] NA NA FALSE NA FALSE NA NA NA NA NA
```

Results:

```
summary(net.leger_link_son_daughter_xT0y)
```

```
##      Mode  FALSE    TRUE   NA's  
## logical    100     58    405
```

```
summary(net.leger_link_son_daughter_yT0x)
```

```
##      Mode  FALSE    TRUE   NA's  
## logical    102     56    405
```

```
summary(net.leger_link_son_daughter_both)
```

```
##      Mode  FALSE    TRUE   NA's  
## logical    120     38    405
```

⇒ Son to daughter support seems to be as well as frequent than daughter to son support. In about 2/3 of cases there are a reciprocity of the emotional support.

Outline

Introduction

Overview of the package

Demonstration

What's next

What's next

Testing and documentating

- ▶ Testing by Myriam Girardin

on VLV network data

- ▶ All functions are documented

but a user guide is needed to have a quick start on the package

- ▶ Make a partnership with 2 data owners

To have sample data embedded in the package

What's next

Integration with the `spnet` package:

- ▶ Fixed position is needed for comparing network
- ▶ Usefull to plot several networks on the same graphic
emotional support, financial support, conflict

What's next

Adding network metrics developed in the NCCR LIVES

For instance IP208:

- ▶ Ambivalence ratio
- ▶ Ambivalence intensity

Thank you for your attention

Questions/remarks?